Archiwa-Kancelarie-Zbiory

Before reading the reviewed article, we kindly ask you to check the editorial requirements regarding article structure and technical issues". Deficiencies in this matter (in the "Form of the text" section in the review) are sufficient reason to conclude that "The article may be published if the reviewer's corrections are taken into account".

Title:

I. Conclusion

(choose one of the options listed below)

The article can be published without any changes, i.e. the Reviewer has NO objections to the form and content of the text:

 \Box yes,

 \Box no.

The article may be published if the reviewer's corrections are taken into account:

 \Box yes,

 \Box no.

The article needs substantial improvement:

 \Box yes,

 \Box no.

The article is not suitable for publication:

 \Box it does not correspond to the profile of the journal,

 \square it raises methodological objections,

 \Box for other reasons.

If you choose the option "for other reasons", please indicate them:

II. Copyrights

In accordance with the anti-plagiarism policy adopted by the editorial board, all texts submitted to the journal will be checked using iThenticate from 2021 onwards.

Please state whether, to the reviewer's knowledge, the paper has been published before?

\square Yes.
]□] No.
\square In part.
If you choose the option "In part", please indicate the source of borrowing:

III. Form of the text

- 1. Does the set of keywords correctly reflect the content of the article?
 - \Box Yes.
 - \square No.

2. Does the abstract fully present the content of the article?

- \Box Yes.
- \Box No.

3. Does the article consist of all the required parts?

- The introduction (with a review of literature):
 - \Box yes,

 \Box no.

- Materials and methods:
- \Box yes,
- \square no.
- Results:
- \Box yes.
- \square no.

- Discussion:

 \Box yes,

 \square no.

4. Is the illustrative material (tables, graphics) relevant to the content?

- \Box Yes.
- \square No.
- \Box Not applicable.

5. Is the article equipped with an end-of-work bibliography?

 \Box Yes.

 \Box No.

6. Have the descriptions of the sources of information used been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the editorial board?

- In the footnotes:

 \Box yes,

 \square no.

- In the bibliography:

 \Box yes,

 \square no.

IV. Content

(1 is fully negative and 5 is fully positive)

1. Does the title of the article correspond with the content?

 $1 \square 2 \square 3 \square 4 \square 5 \square$

2. Is the issue presented in the article scientifically significant?

 $4\square$ $5\square$ 1 $2\square$ $3\square$

3. Does the article contribute something new to the literature on the subject?

4. Is there a need to revise (shorten, expand) the article?

1 2	3□	4	5
-----	----	---	---

Please indicate passages to be deleted and topics to be expanded:

General comments of the reviewer / or comment on the ratings in the previous paragraphs of the review form. Please clearly indicate all the comments, that the Author MUST consider in order for the article to be published in ACC:

Only for	editorial	team	members
----------	-----------	------	---------

(Place and date) (Name and surname of the reviewer)